

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/100,223	06/19/1998	DOUGLAS WALTER CONMY	52817.000051	8325
29315	7590 09/04/2003			
MINTZ LEVIN COHN FERRIS GLOVSKY AND POPEO PC 12010 SUNSET HILLS ROAD SUITE 900			EXAMINER	
			MEINECKE DIAZ, SUSANNA M	
RESTON, VA 20190			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3623	
			DATE MAILED: 09/04/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
A divident Action	09/100,223	CONMY, DOUGLAS WALTER			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Susanna M. Diaz	3623			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 15 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appear Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to a high places the application in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]				
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this a no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI e date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ice later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or			
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be 	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o				
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);			
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly			
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:					
Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected: 1-39.					

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is an ____ special s U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. Applicant states, "At best, Hotaling et al. teaches providing an 'NP' designation to those invitees who are 'not participating in the Meeting Management service' (see col. 10, lines 3-5). A non-participant is not the same as 'invitees whose schedules could not be found' since one could be a participant and yet have no schedule." (Page 12 of Applicant's response) The Examiner respectfully submits that the claimed invention searches for the schedules of "potential invitees." According to column 10, lines 3-5 of Hotaling, "'NP' denotes invitees not participating in the Meeting Management service." In other words, the people noted as "NP" are indeed invitees (as explicitly stated by Hotaling); however, they merely do not participate in the Meeting Management service, thereby making their schedules unavailable via the automated Meeting Management service. A listing of these invitees who do not participate in the Meeting Management service and therefore whose schedules are unavailable (i.e., cannot be found) through the service are displayed to a user in Fig. 11, thereby addressing the claim limitation, "displaying the one or more potential invitees whose schedules could not be found" (recited in various forms throughout independent claims 1, 4-6, 25, 32, and 33). In conclusion, Applicant's arguments are non-persuasive.